THIS PRINT COVERS CALENDAR ITEM NO.: 10.2

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY City and County of San Francisco

DIVISION: Office of the Board of Directors

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Approving Amendment No. 4 and authorizing the eloseout of the Appointment and Compensation Agreement between the City and Michael T. Burns to extend the General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan required by the Charter to June 30, 2005.

SUMMARY:

- The City Charter states that the Agency shall employ the Director of Transportation pursuant to an individual contract. It further states that the City shall provide an incentive compensation bonus plan to the Director of Transportation.
- On March 7, 2000, the MTA Board of Directors appointed Michael Burns as Director of Transportation and approved the execution of a Compensation Agreement (Resolution 00-026).
- On October 19, 2001, the Board approved Amendment #2 that included the "General Manager's Ineentive Compensation Plan Recommendation" as part of the Agreement and stated that the plan would be effective through June 30, 2004.
- This resolution approves an amendment to the contract to extend the term of the plan to June 30, 2005 and authorizes the MTA's Deputy General Manager for Human Relations to take all steps necessary to administer the closeout of the Appointment and Compensation Agreement.

JUL 19 2005

AUG 4 6 2005

ENCLOSURES:

BE RETURNED TO

ASSIGNED MTAB CALENDAR DATE:

MTAB Resolution
 Amendment #4

3. Ineentive Compensation Plan	
APPROVALS:	DATE
DEPUTY OF DIVISION	
PREPARING ITEM	7
FINANCE AM	7.15-05
CHAIRMAN Characteristic	7-15-05
SECRETARY R. Boome	7-15-05
ADOPTED RESOLUTION	

PAGE 2.

EXPLANATION:

Background

Section 8A.102(c) of the Charter states that "The Agency's board of directors shall appoint a director of transportation, who shall serve at the pleasure of the board. The director shall be employed pursuant to an individual contract...In addition, the Agency shall provide an ineentive compensation bonus plan for the director of transportation based upon the Agency's achievement of the milestones adopted pursuant to Section 8A.103".

On March 7, 2000, the MTA Board of Directors appointed Michael T. Burns as Director of Transportation and approved the execution of an Appointment and Compensation Agreement (Resolution 00-026). The agreement requires the incorporation of an incentive compensation bonus plan adopted pursuant to Section 8A.102 (e) of the Charter.

On February 20, 2001, the Board adopted Resolution 01-012 which "approved and adopted an incentive compensation bonus plan" for the Director of Transportation. On October 19, 2001, the Board formally amended the Appointment and Compensation Agreement (Amendment #2) to include the "General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan" to be effective through June 30, 2004. The term of the General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan must be extended through the final year of Mr. Burns' service in order to comply with the requirements of Section 8A.102(e) of the Charter.

Proposal

Amendment No. 4 to the Appointment and Compensation Agreement with Michael T. Burns will extend the "General Manager's Ineentive Compensation Plan" from June 30, 2004 to June 30, 2005 and authorize the MTA's Deputy General Manager for Human Resources to take all steps necessary to administer the eloseout of the Appointment and Compensation Agreement.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 TO AGREEMENT FOR APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION

This Amendment to the Appointment and Compensation Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco ("City") and Michael T. Burns ("Burns"), dated March 7, 2000 (the "Agreement"), is entered into this 19th day of July, 2005, between the City, acting by and through its Board of Directors of the Municipal Transportation Agency, and Burns.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is mutually understood and agreed that all other terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and the Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. Section 6, Performance Review and Evaluation, is amended in its entirety to read as follows:
 - (a) Burns shall receive an annual performance review to evaluate his performance and review his compensation. The Board may, in its discretion, increase Burns' salary in accordance with the requirements of Section 8A.102 (c) of the City Charter and only by an amendment to this Agreement. It is understood that an incentive program as required by Section 8A.102(c) of the Charter shall be established based upon mutually agreed upon goals and criteria between Burns' and the Board and shall be incorporated herein by amendment. Such incentive compensation shall be in addition to the base salary, but shall not be used in any way to calculate any pay as a result of termination of services.
 - (b) The Board adopted the "General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan Recommendation" (the "Incentive Plan") on February 20, 2001 by Resolution 01-012 pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (a) of this Section. The Incentive Plan is hereby incorporated into this Agreement as Burns' incentive compensation bonus plan and shall be in effect until June 30, 2005.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment to the Agreement as of the day and year first written above.

MICHAEL T. BURNS

	FRANCISCO, by and through its Municipal Transportation Agency
Michael T. Burns	Chairman, Board of Directors, Municipal Transportation Agency
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Authorized by: Municipal Transportation Agency
Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney Julia Truedlander Deputy City Attorney	Resolution NoAdopted_Attested:
	Secretary Municipal Transportation Agency

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY **BOARD OF DIRECTORS** CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

RESOLUTION No.
WHEREAS, Section 8A.103 (c) of the Charter states that "the Agency shall provide an incentive compensation bonus plan for the director of transportation"; and
WHEREAS, The Municipal Transportation Agency ("Agency") approved the Appointment and Compensation Agreement between the City and Michael T. Burns ("Agreement") by Resolution No. 00-026, dated March 7, 2000; and,
WHEREAS, The Agency approved and adopted the "General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan Recommendation" ("Incentive Plan") for Michael T. Burns as required by Section 8A.102 (c) by Resolution 01-012, dated February 20, 2001, but the Incentive Plan expired on June 30, 2004; and
WHEREAS, Mr. Burns served as Director of Transportation throughout fiscal year 2004-2005 but resigned this position effective July 15, 2005; and
WHEREAS, In order to comply with the requirements of Section 8A.102(c) of the Charter it is necessary to extend the term of the Incentive Plan; now therefore be it
RESOLVED, That the Municipal Transportation Agency Board approves Amendment No. 4 to the Appointment and Compensation Agreement between the City and Michael T. Burns to extend the term of the "General Manager's Incentive Compensation Plan" to June 30, 2005 and authorize the MTA's Deputy General Manager for Human Resources to take all steps necessary to administer the closeout of the Appointment and Compensation Agreement.
1 hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Municipal Transportation Agency Board at its meeting of

Secretary, Municipal Transportation Agency Board

THIS PRINT COVERS CALENDAR ITEM NO. :

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY City and County of San Francisco

DIVISION: Office of the Board of Directors

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Approves and adopts the "Executive Director's Incentive Compensation Plan" for Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr., Executive Director as required by Section 8A.102(c)(1) of the City Charter.

SUMMARY:

- The MTA is required to provide an incentive compensation bonus plan to Mr. Ford based upon the Agency's achievement of milestones
- The Agreement with Mr. Ford provides for additional incentive compensation in an amount not to exceed 10% of the Executive Director/CEO's current base salary based on an incentive compensation plan.
- The Agreement also provides that the MTA Board of Directors shall adjust the Executive Director/CEO's base salary by a percentage no less than the increase in the Consumer Price Index-Urban (CPIU) for the Bay Area within 120 days after the close of any fiscal year based on the performance evaluation.
- The incentive compensation bonus plan to Mr. Ford is required to be based upon the Agency's achievement of service standards and milestones adopted by the MTA.
- The proposed incentive compensation bonus plan was created by the Controller's Office and used to determine the bonus for the former Director of Transportation.

ENCLOSURES:

- 1. MTAB Resolution
- 2. Incentive Compensation Plan
- 3. Qualitative Assessment

ASSIGNED MTAB CALENDA	AR DATE: AUG 0	1 2008	
ADOPTED RESOLUTION BE RETURNED TO			
SECRETARY	R. Boomede	9	7/27/06
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/CEO	factarily!	Ford fr.	7.18.06
FINANCE		01	7.27.06
CHAIRMAN	James Jocky	range	7/18/06
APPROVALS:	\propto A_0	r 1 -	DATE

PAGE 2.

Background

On December 6, 2005, the MTA Board of Directors appointed Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. to the position of Executive Director/CEO of the Municipal Transportation Agency and approved the execution of an Appointment and Compensation Agreement ("Agreement") by Resolution #05-179. The Agreement provides for both (1) additional incentive compensation in an amount not to exceed 10% of the Executive Director/CEO's current base salary based on an incentive compensation plan consistent with Section 8A.103 of the Charter; and (2) an annual performance evaluation within ninety days after completion of the fiscal year. The Agreement also provides that the MTA Board of Directors shall adjust the Executive Director/CEO's base salary by a percentage no less than the increase in the Consumer Price Index-Urban (CP1U) for the Bay Area within 120 days after the close of any fiscal year based on the performance evaluation.

Performance Evaluation

Section 9 of the Agreement requires that the Board provide Mr. Ford with an annual performance evaluation within 90 days after completion of the fiscal year. This performance evaluation will be held in closed session following presentation of the year end Service Standards Report. This normally occurs at the second meeting in August. Staff has prepared a qualitative assessment based on the performance evaluation form currently used by members of the Municipal Executive Association to assist the MTA Board in their evaluation of Mr. Ford's performance. The draft qualitative assessment is attached. While staff has included sample questions, the Board may revise the document.

Salary Adjustment

Section 5 of the Agreement states that the MTA Board shall adjust Mr. Ford's base salary by a percentage no less than the increase in the Consumer Price Index — Urban (CPIU) for the Bay Area within 120 days after the close of any fiscal year based on the performance evaluation provided in accordance with Section 9 of this Agreement. Any adjustment in Mr. Ford's base salary shall be approved as an Amendment to this Agreement.

Incentive Compensation Bonus Plan

Pursuant to Charter Section 8A.102(c)(1) and Section 6 of the Agreement, the MTA is required to provide an incentive compensation bonus plan to Mr. Ford based upon the Agency's achievement of the fiscal year milestones adopted pursuant to Section 8A.103. The amount of any incentive compensation to be paid shall be calculated on a fiscal year basis within 120 days after the close of any fiscal year.

Section 6 of the Agreement states that any such bonus shall be pro-rated for any fiscal year in which Mr. Ford does not serve as Executive Director/CEO for 12 months. For FY2006, any bonus paid to Mr. Ford will be prorated for the period of his tenure as the Executive Director/CEO.

The Charter and the Agreement require that the incentive compensation bonus plan be based on the achievement of service standards and milestones adopted by the MTA. Attached is a proposed incentive compensation bonus plan that is based on the achievement of these service standards and milestones. The incentive compensation bonus plan was created by the Controller's Office and used to determine the bonus for the former Director of Transportation, Michael T. Burns.

The one difference between the proposed plan for Mr. Ford and Mr. Burns' plan is a result of the different terms of Mr. Burns' Agreement as compared to Mr. Ford's Agreement. Mr. Ford's Agreement states that he is eligible to receive additional incentive compensation in an amount not to exceed 10% of his current base salary. While Mr. Burns' Agreement did not address any bonus amount, the incentive bonus plan established a "total compensation opportunity" of up to \$15,000 or 7.5% of base compensation. Therefore, Mr. Burns' plan included set amounts for the achievement of each milestone for a total bonus not to exceed \$15,000.

Because Mr. Ford's agreement allows the MTA Board to determine the amount of the incentive compensation bonus, Mr. Ford's plan does not have set amounts for the achievement of each milestone. Instead, Mr. Ford's plan is divided into four categories. Each category is limited to 25% of the total bonus and will be determined based on the achievement of the objective milestones. The proposed incentive compensation plan is attached. The plan will be revised on an annual basis to reflect the yearly service standards and milestones as adopted by the MTA Board.

Process

In order to comply with the timelines included in Mr. Ford's Agreement, staff proposes the following process for both the Executive Director/CEO's Performance Evaluation and Incentive Compensation Bonus Plan:

1. Performance Evaluation

Each year, following the presentation of the year end Service Standards report, the MTA Board of Directors will hold a closed session to conduct a performance evaluation. Normally this will occur at the second meeting in August. Following the closed session discussion with Mr. Ford, each Board member and Mr. Ford will complete a qualitative written assessment and will evaluate the Executive Director on the achievement of service standards and milestones and return the completed forms to the board secretary. Those individual assessments are private and confidential. The board secretary will compile a summary of the responses received.

The MTA Board Chairman may choose to appoint an ad hoc committee consisting of three Directors. The ad hoc committee shall review the summary submitted by the board secretary; decide whether the Executive Director/CEO is entitled to any increase in salary. The ad hoc committee shall be subject to the Sunshine Ordinance's passive meeting rules and shall review and discuss the results of the qualitative written assessment in compliance with the Brown Act and Sunshine Ordinance. Any discussion regarding the amount or percentage of any proposed salary increase shall be held in open session of the ad hoc committee.

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Ford's contract, the MTA Board of Directors is required to meet within 120 after the close of the fiscal year to adjust the salary of the Executive Director. Any discussion regarding the amount or percentage of any proposed salary increase shall be held in open session.

2. Incentive Compensation Bonus Plan

MTA staff shall complete the responses to the incentive compensation bonus plan based on the achievement of the service standards and milestones adopted by the MTA Board pursuant to Section 8A.103. The same ad hoc committee appointed by the MTA Board Chairman will review and discuss the results of the incentive compensation bonus plan in compliance with the Brown Act and Sunshine Ordinance. Any discussion regarding the amount of any proposed bonus shall be held in open session.

The MTA Board of Directors shall then meet within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year to determine the bonus of the Executive Director/CEO. Any discussion regarding the amount or percentage of any proposed bonus shall be held in open session.

MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY BOARD OF DIRECTORS CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO RESOLUTION No.

WHEREAS, Charter Section 8A.102(e) authorizes the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors to appoint a Director of Transportation pursuant to an individual contract; and,

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 05-179, dated December 6, 2005, the MTA Board of Directors approved the Appointment and Compensation Agreement between the City and Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr. ("Agreement") and appointed Mr. Ford to the position of Executive Director/CEO of the Municipal Transportation Agency; and,

WHEREAS, Charter Section 8A.102(c)(1) states that the "the Agency shall provide an incentive compensation bonus plan for the director of transportation based upon the Agency's achievement of the milestones adopted pursuant to Section 8A.103"; and,

WHEREAS, Section 6 of the Agreement, entitled "Incentive Compensation", states that "Ford shall be eligible to receive additional incentive compensation in an amount not to exceed 10% of Ford's current base salary based on an incentive compensation plan consistent with Section 8A.103 of the Charter"; and,

WHEREAS, Section 6 further states that "the amount of any incentive compensation to be paid shall be calculated on a fiscal year basis within 120 days after the close of any fiscal year and shall be pro-rated for any fiscal year in which Ford does not serve as Executive Director for 12 months";

WHEREAS, The City has prepared an incentive compensation plan, entitled "Executive Director's Incentive Compensation Plan Recommendation", dated July 10, 2006, which is attached hereto and has been received and reviewed by the MTA Board of Directors and by the MTA Executive Director/CEO; and

WHEREAS, The incentive compensation plan was created to objectively determine the Agency's achievement of service standards and milestones that were adopted by the MTA Board pursuant to Charter section 8A.103; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the MTA Board of Directors hereby approves and adopts the "Executive Director's Incentive Compensation Plan" for Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr., Executive Director as required by Section 8A.102(c)(1) of the City Charter; and be it further

RESOLVED, That any incentive compensation paid to Mr. Ford for fiscal year 2006 shall be prorated.

• •	adopted by the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of
Directors at its meeting of	*
•	

Secretary, Municipal Transportation Agency Board

Incentive Compensation Bonus Plan Rating Summary:

A+=5 pts Target achieved and exceeded
Target achieved

A = 4 pts B = 3 pts C = 2 pts D =1 pts

Target not achieved, substantial progress made
Target not achieved, some progress made
Target not achieved, minimal progress achieved

=1 pts

=0 pts Target not achieved, no progress achieved

Total possible points = 200

Goal	Total Possible Points	Average Score of all	705C X	Total Points
()	YOME Y COUNTY OF CAME	Raters		I O car I O III is
Meet standards for	75	TO TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	The state of the s	
System Reliability,				
Performance and Traffic				
Operations				
Improve Safety	25		T TOTAL COLUMN TO THE COLUMN T	
Improve customer	65			To provide the state of the sta
service				
Improve employee	35			
satisfaction. Promote				
and manage employee				
growth, development				
and performance.				
Total	200 points			

Incentive Compensation Plan

				The second secon	
A	GOAL: Meet standards for System	FY06 Target	90XH	IX07 Target	Rating
	Traffic Operations (Total = 25% of		Actual		
	total bonus)				
1A	85 % of vehicles that run on time	85%		85%	
	according to published schedules				
2A	Provide 98.5 % of Scheduled service	98.5%		98.5%	
	hours that are delivered and percentage of				
	scheduled vehicles that begin service at				
	the scheduled time.				
4A	Less than 5% of vehicles that pass	Less than 5%	`	Less than 5%	
	published time points unable to pick up				
:	passengers due to crowding.				
6A.	Actual headways against scheduled	Operate no less than 85% of the		Operate no less than 85% of	
	headways on all radial express, cross-	time within 30% or 10 minutes		the time within 30% or 10	
	town, secondary, and feeder lines for			minutes of scheduled headway.	
	peak, base, evening, and late night				
	services				
7A	% vehicle availability and reliability	No less than 98.5% vehicle		No less than 98.5% vehicle	
	(mean distance between failure) by mode	availability		availability	
9A	Miles between roadcalls	Increase miles		Increase miles	
12A	Respond within 48 hours to at least 85%	Respond within 48 hours to at		Respond within 48 hours to at	:
	of all reports of meter malfunctions.	least 85%		least 85%	
18	Increase passenger boarding	Increase by 1.5% overall from		Increase by 1.5% overall from	
	- International Control of the Contr	previous FY		previous FY	
2B	Increase fare revenue by 1.5% per year	Increase by 1.5% over prior		Increase by 1.5% over prior	
	compared with prior year performance	year, achieve a \$130m in fare		year and provide average fare	
	and provide average fare per passenger.	revenue and provide average		per passenger	
	distribution of the state of th	fare per passenger			
4B	Fully Allocated Costs Per Hour of	Provide fully allocated costs per		Provide fully allocated costs	
	Service by Mode	hour of Service by Mode		per hour of Service and by	
	Transferred to the state of the			Passenger Mile (by Mode)	
				The state of the s	

on an annual basis.	6D Reduce the number of vehicle accidents		at least 10% on an ongoing basis	15A Traffic Lane Line Maintenance – maintain	14A Repair at least 92% of hazardous signal conditions within two hours.		13A Renair at least 08% of hazardone safety	GOAL: Improve Safety (25%)
	ehicle accidents		ing basis	tenance – maintain	azardous signal ours.	4 hours.	azardons safety	ty (25%)
5% reduction from previous FY	5% reduction from previous FY	crosswalks on an ongoing basis.	traffic lane lines, bus zones and	To maintain at least 10% of all	92% within two hours	7070 WILLIAM 27 MORES	98% within 24 hours	FY06 Target
								FY06 Actual
5% reduction from previous	5% reduction from previous FY	and crosswalks on an ongoing basis.	traffic lane lines, bus zones	To maintain at least 10% of all	92% within two hours	7070 WILLIE 27 LIVELS.	98% within 24 hours	FY07 Target
								Rating

.

	GOAL: Improve customer service (25%)	FY06 Target	FY06 Actual	FY07 Target	Rating
5A	No greater than 85% of combined seating and standing capacity.	No greater than 85%		% of lines with load factors greater than 85%	
10A.	Investigate and respond to requests for changes to traffic or parking controls from the public.	80% within 90 days		80% within 90 days	
11A	Color Curb Applications	To review and respond to at least 90% of all color curb applications within 30 days.		To review and respond to at least 90% of all color curb applications within 30 days.	
1D	Development of an annual marketing plan identifying specific programs and projects that will promote increased patronage	Develop annual marketing plan	THE STATE OF THE S	Develop annual marketing plan	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
2D	Publish and distribute timetable	Publish timetable during FY		Publish timetable during FY	
3D	Reduce operator conduct complaints from previous year.	75% PSR's resolved within 30 days		75% PSR's resolved within 30 days	
4D	Measure approval of Muni service by transit riders by conducting annual rider survey	Conduct Survey.		Conduct Survey.	
5D	Improvements in public information regarding vehicle delays as well as general user information regarding system modifications, route changes, and schedules	Improve Passenger Information		Improve Passenger Information	
\$D	Abandoned Automobile Reports	To respond 100% of the time within 48 hours to reports of abandoned automobiles		To respond 100% of the time within two business days to reports of abandoned automobiles	
9D	Serve all walk-in citation or residential parking permit customers.	Average wait time of 20 minutes or less 80% of the time.		Average wait time of 20 minutes or less 80% of the time	
10D	Reduce customer waiting time for in-person administrative hearings and walk-in customers.	Serve within 10 minutes of arrival at least 80% of the time	TO THE PARTY OF TH	Serve within 10 minutes of arrival at least 80% of the time	,
11D	Residential Parking Permit Renewals	Respond at least 95% of the time within 21 days		Respond at least 95% of the time within 21 days	
14	Respond to phone requests for parking enforcement.	Respond within 20 minutes		Respond within 20 minutes	

	8A	6D/5E			4E		1E/2E		4D		2C		IC	*		
unscheduled absences by mechanical, administrative personnel and operators.	Annual reduction of YTD average of	Provide employee education and training opportunities.	excellence in job performance.	employee achievements and encourage	Employee Recognition recognize	grievances	Prompt resolution of employee	employees.	Measure the level of satisfaction of	division and level.	Attrition rates for new employees, by		Net Vacancies by Position	performance. (25%)	growth, development and	GOAL: Improve employee satisfaction. Promote and manage employee
Administration and DPT Employees and 10% reduction for Operators	5% reduction for Maintenance,	Provide 100,000 hours of training	quarterly awards	honorees for monthly and	Annual achievement of		Resolve 75% in 30 days		Conduct survey.	C	No greater than 10%	rate	No greater than 5% vacancy			FY06 Target
Administration and DPT Employees and 10% reduction for Operators	5% reduction for Maintenance,	Provide 100,000 hours of training		monthly and quarterly awards	Annual achievement of honorees for		Resolve 75% in 30 days		Conduct survey.	Strong Charles	No greater than 10%		No greater than 5% vacancy rate			FY06 Actual FY07 Target

Qualitative Assessment for Municipal Transportation Agency Executive Director/CEO FY 2007

Executive Director/CEO Qualitative Assessment Rating Summary

	•
Name of Executive Director/CEO	Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr.
Department	Municipal Transportation Agency
Evaluators	MTA Board of Directors and Executive Director

Average Rating =
•
(Incl. ED rating)
Average MTAB Rating

Management Area #1	Improve Financial Stability	
ED/CEO Goals	Has the Executive Dire	Has the Executive Director/CEO improved revenue recovery from fares and other revenue streams?
	Has the Executive Dire operating reserve and l	Has the Executive Director/CEO developed and implemented a long-term revenue plan to include creation of an operating reserve and leverage of capital assets?
	Has the Executive Directory expenditures?	Has the Executive Director/CEO enhanced internal controls for financial tracking of budgeted to actual expenditures?
	Has the Executive Dire Parking and Traffic an	Has the Executive Director/CEO ensured that the MTA develops a seamless budget that includes Department of Parking and Traffic and Municipal Railway functions?
	Has the Executive Dire merges financial funct	Has the Executive Director/CEO directed the development of a MTA recast plan that efficiently and effectively merges financial functions of the Municipal Railway and the Department of Parking and Traffic?
	Rating Criteria	Rating(Check one)
	Outstanding (A+)	
	Superior (A)	
	Exceeds Standards (B)	
	Competent (C)	
	Needs Improvement (D)	

Has the Executive Director/CEO been responsible to the Executive Director/CEO been responsible to the Executive Director/CEO actively personant to the Executive Director/CEO improved Has the Executive Director/CEO improved Have the approval ratings of Muni service in Rating Criteria Rating(Check one) Outstanding (A+) Superior (A) Exceeds Standards (B) Competent (C)	Has the Executive Director/CEO been responsive to diverse conscommunity-based and business organizations? Has the Executive Director/CEO actively promoted ADA access Has the Executive Director/CEO improved response times to the Have the approval ratings of Muni service improved from the proposed Standards (B) Superior (A)	Has the Executive Director/CEO been responsive to diverse constituencies such as policymakers, riders, unions, community-based and business organizations? Has the Executive Director/CEO actively promoted ADA accessibility MTA-wide? Has the Executive Director/CEO improved response times to the public? Have the approval ratings of Muni service improved from the previous FY? Rating Criteria Rating(Check one) Outstanding (A+) Exceeds Standards (B) Exceeds Standards (B) Needs Improvement (D)					tri:			ED/CEO Goals H	Management F Area #2
rector/CEO been responsive to business organization rector/CEO actively prector/CEO improved ings of Muni service ings (Check one)	rector/CEO been responsive to diverse const business organizations? rector/CEO actively promoted ADA access rector/CEO improved response times to the ings of Muni service improved from the property of the p	rector/CEO been responsive to diverse constituencies such as publishmess organizations? rector/CEO actively promoted ADA accessibility MTA-wide? rector/CEO improved response times to the public? Improved response times to the previous FY? Rating(Check one)	Needs Improvement (D)			Rating Criteria	(ave the approval rat	(as the Executive Di	(as the Executive Di	(as the Executive Dince Dince Dince I and	ocus on Customer Se
	onsive to diverse consins? romoted ADA access response times to the improved from the pro	onsive to diverse constituencies such as pons? romoted ADA accessibility MTA-wide? response times to the public? improved from the previous FY?				Rating(Check one)	ings of Muni service	ector/CEO improved	ector/CEO actively p	ector/CEO been resp business organizatio	vice
stituencies such as policymakers, riders ibility MTA-wide? public? evious FY?	olicymakers, riders				,					, unions,	

	ned a line of the second	T. V. V. T.	
Area #7			
ED/CEO Goals	Has the Executive Dir business processes?	ector/CEO provided,	Has the Executive Director/CEO provided, maintained, and upgraded the technological infrastructure to improve business processes?
	Has the Executive Dir Department of Parking	ector/CEO effectively g and Traffic and Muı	Has the Executive Director/CEO effectively implemented the Proposition E mandate for a full merger of the Department of Parking and Traffic and Municipal Railway as one MTA, through re-organization or other means?
	Has the Executive Dir	ector/CEO effectively	Has the Executive Director/CEO effectively met the overall goals of the City and County of San Francisco's
	1		
	Has the Executive Dir Transportation Comm	ector/CEO been an ef ission, Joint Powers I	Has the Executive Director/CEO been an effective advocate region-wide with agencies such as Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Joint Powers Board, Transbay Joint Powers Authority and Translink?
	Rating Criteria	Rating(Check one)	
	Outstanding (A+)		
	Superior (A)		
	Exceeds Standards (B)		
	Competent (C)		
	Needs Improvement (D)		

. . .

ED/CEO Goals Proposed Goals for Next Fiscal Year ED/CEO Goals Please provide any additional goals that might be included in next year's evaluation of the Executive Director/CEO.	ED/CEO Goals	Please provide any additional comments you think might be helpful to the Executive Director/CEO's for the next fiscal year.
Proposed Goals for Next Fiscal Year Please provide any additional goals that might be included in next year's Executive Director/CEO.		
	ED/CEO Goals	